



TITLE:

# Expanding Ratios, Box counting Dimension and Hausdorff Dimension (General and geometric topology today and their problems)

AUTHOR(S):

Fujita, Chikara; Kato, Hisao; Matsumoto, Masahiro

---

CITATION:

Fujita, Chikara ...[et al]. Expanding Ratios, Box counting Dimension and Hausdorff Dimension (General and geometric topology today and their problems). 数理解析研究所講究録 2008, 1578: 28-35

ISSUE DATE:

2008-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81378>

RIGHT:

# Expanding Ratios, Box counting Dimension and Hausdorff Dimension

Chikara Fujita, Hisao Kato and Masahiro Matsumoto

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

## 1 Introduction

All spaces in this note are metric spaces and maps are continuous functions. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map of a compactum  $X$ . We say that  $f$  is *positively expansive* ([12]) if there is an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $c > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ , then there is a natural number  $n \geq 0$  such that  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > c$ . Note that this property is independent of the choice of metrics for  $X$ . We say that  $f$  is a *Ruelle expanding map* ([14]) if  $f$  is positively expansive and an open onto map. Note that by invariance of domain in  $n$ -manifolds, if  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a positively expansive map, then  $f$  is a Ruelle expanding map. We say that  $f$  *expands small distances* if there is an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  such that if  $0 < d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) > \lambda d(x, y)$ . In this case, we say that  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  expands small distances. A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  *increases small distances* if there is an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $0 < d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) > d(x, y)$ . The above two notions are dependent of the choice of metrics for  $X$ .

In [12], by use of the Frink's metrization theorem ([5]), Reddy proved that the following notions are equivalent:

1.  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is positively expansive.
2.  $f$  expands small distances.
3.  $f$  increases small distances.

Hence for any onto open map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , the following notions are equivalent:

1.  $f$  is a Ruelle expanding map.
2.  $f$  expands small distances.
3.  $f$  increases small distances.

In this note, we are interested in "metrics" related to expandability of maps and we investigate more precise expandability of maps as follows. We say that  $f$  *expands strictly small distances with an expanding ratio*  $\lambda > 1$  if there is an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ . In this case, we say that  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  expands strictly small distances with an expanding ratio  $\lambda > 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the real line, and let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of all integers.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear map such that  $L(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $|\lambda_i| > 1$ ,  $|\lambda_i| \neq |\lambda_j|$  ( $i \neq j$ ) for eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $L$ . If  $f : T^n \rightarrow T^n$  is the map of the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  induced by  $L$ , then for the Euclidean metric  $\rho$  for  $T^n$ ,  $f : (T^n, \rho) \rightarrow (T^n, \rho)$  expands small distances, but it does not expand strictly small distances with a common expanding ratio.

In this note, by use of the Alexandroff-Urysohn's metrization theorem we obtain the following theorem which is a more precise result in case of Ruelle expanding maps: If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a Ruelle expanding map of a compactum  $X$  and any positive number  $s > 1$ , then there exists an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and positive numbers  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda$  ( $1 < \lambda < s$ ) such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ . For a case of graphs, we obtain that if  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a positively expansive map of a graph  $X$  (=1-dimensional compact polyhedron), then the same conclusion holds. In these cases, the metrics  $d$  satisfy the following equality:

$$\dim_H(X, d) = \underline{D}_d(X) = D_d(X) = \frac{h(f)}{\log \lambda},$$

where  $\dim_H(X, d)$ ,  $\underline{D}_d(X)$  and  $D_d(X)$  denote the Hausdorff dimension, the lower box-counting dimension and the upper box-counting dimension of the compact metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $h(f)$  is the topological entropy of  $f$ . This implies that such a metric  $d$  is a "fractal" metric for  $X$ . In fact, we can consider that the compact metric space  $(X, d)$  has some sort of local self-similarity with respect to the inverse  $f^{-1}$  of  $f$  and the similarity ratio  $1/\lambda$ . Also, we obtain that if  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is an expanding homeomorphism of a noncompact metric space  $X$ , then there exist an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $\lambda > 1$  such that if  $x, y \in X$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ .

## 2 Metrics of Ruelle expanding maps

In this section, we need the following terminology and concepts. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be open covers of a space  $X$ . We assume that each element of any open cover of a space is not an empty set. If  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , then we denote  $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{U}$  (e.g. see [9] and [10]). Suppose that  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Then we denote

$$St(x, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid x \in U\}.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{U}^\Delta = \{St(x, \mathcal{U}) \mid x \in X\}.$$

An open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  is a *delta-refinement* of an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{V}^\Delta \leq \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of open covers of  $X$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is called a *normal delta-sequence* (e.g. see [9] and [10]) if  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  is a delta-refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Also,  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is called a *development* of  $X$  if  $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a neighborhood base for each point  $x$  of  $X$ . The following theorem is well known as the Alexandroff-Urysohn's metrization theorem (e.g. see [2], [9] and [10]).

**Theorem 2.1.** (the Alexandroff-Urysohn's metrization theorem [2]) *A  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a normal delta-sequence and a development of  $X$ .*

In this section, by use of the construction of the Alexandroff-Urysohn's metrics we obtain the theorem which is a more precise result in case of Ruelle expanding maps. For the proof of Theorem 2.5, we need the following propositions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compactum and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a local embedding. Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f$  is at most  $k$ -to-1 map.*

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $x \in X$ . Also, let  $U_\epsilon(x)$  be the  $\epsilon$  neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ , i.e.,  $U_\epsilon(x) = \{y \in X \mid d(y, x) < \epsilon\}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map of a compactum  $(X, d)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{W}$  is an open cover of  $X$  such that for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $f^{-1}(x) \subset W$ . Then there is a positive number  $r > 0$  such that if  $A$  is a subset of  $X$  with  $\text{diam}(A) \leq r$ , then there exists  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $f^{-1}(A) \subset W$ .*

**Proposition 2.4.** (Reddy [10, p.330, Construction Lemma]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a positively expansive map with an expansive constant  $c > 0$ . Then for each positive number  $r < c$ , there exists a natural number  $N(r) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$r \leq d(x, y) \leq c \ (x, y \in X) \Rightarrow \max\{d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq N(r) - 1\} > c.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Ruelle expanding map of a compactum  $X$ . For any  $s > 1$ , there exist an admissible metric  $\tilde{d}$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $\lambda$  ( $s > \lambda > 1$ ) such that  $f : (X, \tilde{d}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{d})$  expands strictly small distances with the expanding ratio  $\lambda$ , that is, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) \leq \epsilon \ (x, y \in X) \Rightarrow \tilde{d}(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda \tilde{d}(x, y).$$

Generally, we have the following problem.

**Problem 2.6.** *Does Positively expansive maps expand strictly small distances?*

In a case of graphs, we obtain the following partial answer to Problem 2.6.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a positively expansive map of a compact connected graph  $X = G$  (=1-dimensional compact polyhedron). Then for any  $s > 1$ , there exist an admissible metric  $\tilde{d}$  for  $X$  and positive numbers  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $s > \lambda > 1$  such that*

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) \leq \epsilon \ (x, y \in X) \Rightarrow \tilde{d}(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda \tilde{d}(x, y).$$

### 3 Expanding homeomorphisms of noncompact metric spaces

In this section, we deal with the case of noncompact metric spaces. We obtain the following theorem (cf. Example 1.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a (noncompact) metric space. If  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is an expanding homeomorphism, that is, there is  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \geq \lambda d(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in X$ , then for any  $s > 1$  there is an admissible metric  $\tilde{d}$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $r$  ( $s > r > 1$ ) such that  $f : (X, \tilde{d}) \rightarrow (X, \tilde{d})$  expands strictly distances with the expanding ratio  $r$ , that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$\tilde{d}(f(x), f(y)) = r\tilde{d}(x, y).$$

**Remark 3.2.** (Alexandroff-Urysohn's metrization theorem [7, Theorem 2.16]) It follows that  $D$  and  $d'$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 satisfy the following condition: For any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4}D(x, y) \leq d'(x, y) \leq D(x, y).$$

**Remark 3.3.** There is the following relations between the given metric  $d$  of Theorem 3.1 and the metric  $d'$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1:

(a) There are  $A > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) \geq 1/2$  then

$$d'(x, y) \leq Ad(x, y)^\alpha.$$

(b) There are  $B > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < 1/2$  then

$$d'(x, y) \geq Bd(x, y)^\beta.$$

### 4 Topological entropy of Ruelle expanding maps and upper box-counting dimension

In this section, we study the dynamical property which is related to Ruelle expanding map, positively expansive map, topological entropy and box-counting dimension. For a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  of a compactum  $X$ , we define the topological entropy  $h(f)$  of  $f$  as follows (see [1] and [6]): Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $\epsilon > 0$ . A subset  $F$  of  $X$  is an  $(n, \epsilon)$ -spanning set for  $f$  if for each  $x \in X$ , there is  $y \in F$  such that

$$\max\{d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Let  $r_n(f, \epsilon)$  be the smallest cardinality of all  $(n, \epsilon)$ -spanning sets for  $f$ . A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is an  $(n, \epsilon)$ -separated set for  $f$  if for each  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \neq y$ , there is  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  such that

$$d(f^j(x), f^j(y)) > \epsilon.$$

Let  $s_n(f, \epsilon)$  be the maximal cardinality of all  $(n, \epsilon)$ -separated sets for  $f$ . Put

$$r(f, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log r_n(f, \epsilon)$$

and

$$s(f, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log s_n(f, \epsilon).$$

Also, put

$$h(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r(f, \epsilon).$$

It is well known that  $h(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} s(f, \epsilon)$  and  $h(f)$  is equal to the topological entropy of  $f$  which was defined by Adler, Konheim and McAndrew (see [1]).

Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $b(\epsilon)$  the minimum cardinality of a covering of  $X$  by  $\epsilon$ -balls. Put

$$D_d(X) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log b(\epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Similarly, put

$$\underline{D}_d(X) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log b(\epsilon)}{|\log \epsilon|} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

$D_d(X)$  is called the upper box-counting dimension of  $(X, d)$ , and  $\underline{D}_d(X)$  is called the lower box-counting dimension of  $(X, d)$ .

Let  $p \geq 0$  be any real number. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$m_p^\epsilon(X, d) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\text{diam}(A_i)]^p$$

where  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is any decomposition of  $X$  in a countable number of subset of diameter less than  $\epsilon$ . Let

$$m_p(X, d) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} m_p^\epsilon(X, d).$$

Finally, we denote by the Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_H(X, d)$  of  $(X, d)$  the supremum of all real numbers  $p$  such that  $m_p(X, d) > 0$ . It is well known that  $\dim X \leq \dim_H(X, d) \leq \underline{D}_d(X) \leq D_d(X)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** (cf. [7], Theorem 3.2.9) *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map of a compactum  $X$  with a metric  $d$ . Suppose that there exist positive numbers  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $0 < d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $\lambda_2 d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda_1 d(x, y)$ . Then the following inequalities hold*

$$D_d(X) \log \lambda_2 \leq h(f) \leq D_d(X) \log \lambda_1.$$

Dai-Zhou-Geng [4] and Misiurewicz [8] proved that the following interesting result.

**Theorem 4.2.** (Dai-Zhou-Geng [4] and Misiurewicz [8]) *If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz continuous map of a compactum  $(X, d)$  with Lipschitz constant  $\lambda$ , then the following equality holds*

$$\frac{h(f)}{\log \lambda} \leq \dim_H(X, d).$$

Now, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map of a compactum  $X$  with a metric  $d$ . Suppose that there exist positive numbers  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ . Then the following equality holds*

$$h(f) = D_d(X) \log \lambda.$$

*In particular, the followings hold.*

1. *If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a Ruelle expanding map of a compactum  $X$  and  $s > 1$ , then there exist an admissible metric  $d$  for  $X$  and a positive number  $1 < \lambda \leq s$  such that  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  expands strictly small distances with the expanding ratio  $\lambda$ , and hence*

$$\dim_H(X, d) = \underline{D}_d(X) = D_d(X) = \frac{h(f)}{\log \lambda}.$$

2. *If  $f : G \rightarrow G$  is a positively expansive map of a graph  $G$  and  $s > 1$ , then there exist an admissible metric  $d$  for  $G$  and a positive number  $1 < \lambda \leq s$  such that  $f : (G, d) \rightarrow (G, d)$  expands strictly small distances with the expanding ratio  $\lambda$ , and hence*

$$\dim_H(G, d) = \underline{D}_d(G) = D_d(G) = \frac{h(f)}{\log \lambda}.$$

**Remark 4.4.** In [9], Pontrjagin and Schnirelmann proved that for any compactum  $X$ ,

$$\dim X = \min\{\underline{D}_d(X) \mid d \text{ is a metric for } X\},$$

where  $\dim X$  denotes the topological dimension of  $X$ . Suppose that  $\dim X \geq 1$  and a map  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  expands strictly small distances with an expanding ratio  $\lambda > 1$ . Then  $0 < \log \lambda \leq h(f)/\dim X$ , which implies that the set of expanding ratios of  $f$  are bounded. Note that there exist a sequence  $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of metrics for  $X$  such that  $f : (X, d_i) \rightarrow (X, d_i)$  expands strictly small distances with an expanding ratio  $\lambda_i$  satisfying  $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 1$ . Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D_{d_i}(X) = \infty$ , which implies that  $d_i$  is a "fractal" metric on  $X$ . In fact, we can consider that the space  $(X, d_i)$  has some sort of local self-similarity with respect to the inverse  $f^{-1}$  of  $f$  and the similarity ratio  $1/\lambda_i$ . In [5], we investigated the relation between metrics  $d$ , box-counting dimensions  $\underline{D}_d(X)$  and  $D_d(X)$  of a separable metric space  $(X, d)$ .

The topological entropy of endmorphisms of the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  is well known and hence we have the following.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear map such that  $L(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $|\lambda_i| > 1$  for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $L$ . Then the followings hold.*

1. *For any  $s > 1$ , there exists an admissible metric  $d$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a positive number  $\lambda$  with  $s > \lambda > 1$  such that if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $d(L(x), L(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ .*

2. Let  $T^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional torus and let  $f : T^n \rightarrow T^n$  be the map induced by the linear map  $L$ . Then for any  $s > 1$ , there exists an admissible metric  $d$  for  $T^n$  and positive numbers  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $1 < \lambda < s$  such that if  $x, y \in T^n$  and  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)$ . Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log |\lambda_i| = \sum_{|\lambda_i| > 1} \log |\lambda_i| = h(f) = D_d(X) \log \lambda$$

and hence

$$\dim_H(X, d) = \underline{D}_d(X) = D_d(X) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \log |\lambda_i|}{\log \lambda}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Prof. Kazuhiro, Sakai and Prof. Naoya, Sumi for the information of the information of the papers [4] and [8].

## References

- [1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim and M. H. McAndrew, Topological entropy, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 309-319.
- [2] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, Une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour qu'une classe (L) soit une class (B), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 177 (1923), 1274-1276.
- [3] E. M. Coven and W. L. Reddy, Positively Exapansive Maps of Compact Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 819, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 96-110.
- [4] X. Dai, Z. Zhou and X. Geng, Some relations between Hausdorff-dimensions and entropies, Sci. China Ser. A 41 (1998), 1068-1075.
- [5] A. Frink, Distance functions and the metrization problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1937), 133-142.
- [6] H. Kato, Normal Sequences of Finite Open Covers and Pontrjagin-Shnirelmann' theorem, preprint.
- [7] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, Introduction to Modern Theory of Smooth Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York 1994.
- [8] M. Misiurewicz, On Bowen's definition of topological entropy, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. A 10 (2004), 827-833.
- [9] K. Nagami, Dimension Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [10] J. Nagata, Modern General Topology, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol 33, 1985.
- [11] L. Pontrjagin and L. Schnirelmann, Sur une propriété métrique de la dimension, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 33 (1932), 152-162.
- [12] W.L. Reddy, Expanding maps on the compact metric spaces, Topology Appl. 13 (1982), 327-334.



- [13] D. Rosenholtz, Local expansion, derivatives, and fixed points, *Fund. Math.* 91 (1976), 1-4.
- [14] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamics Formalism*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. Vol.5, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1978.
- [15] K. Sakai, Various shadowing properties for positively expansive maps, *Topology Appl.* 131 (2003), 15-31.

Chikara Fujita, Hisao Kato and Masahiro Matsumoto  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305-8571 Japan  
e-mail: [chikara@math.tsukuba.ac.jp](mailto:chikara@math.tsukuba.ac.jp)